

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/533,361 03/22/00 TOUMÍ 23 6088-0501-0 **EXAMINER** HM12/1204 OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAJER & NEUSTADT WELLS, I FOURTH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY ARLINGTON VA 22202 1619 **DATE MAILED:** 12/04/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
يە	Office Action Summary	09/533,361	TOUMI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Lauren Q Wells	1619		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 J</u>	<u>luly 2000</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claims 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e).					
<u>, </u>					
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/533,361

Art Unit: 1619

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-23, drawn in Markush format, encompass multiple independent and patentably distinct inventions. Accordingly, a requirement to provisionally elect a single independent and patentably distinct species is made as provide for in MPEP § 803.02. It is noted that the claims encompass such final products as those set forth in Examples1-6.

It is considered that a Markush-type claim encompassing such species is directed to multiple independent and patentably distinct inventions since the species are so unrelated and diverse that a reference anticipating one of the species would not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Further, the species are considered to be independent since they are unrelated in operation, one does not require the other for ultimate use, and the specification does not disclose a dependent relationship between them. Moreover, each of the stated species is considered to be patentably distinct from the others on the basis of its properties. Thus, the stated species are capable of supporting separate patents under 35 USC § 121.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election. By species is meant a single compound. The compound may be named in any of four ways (or any combination thereof): 1) according to the IUPAC standard, 2) by a pictorial representation of the compound, 3) by setting forth the specific chemical group that each variable of the Markush group represents, or 4) by naming a claim or an example which itself sets forth a single compound. In the instant case, Applicant must elect a grafted silicon copolymer, wherein one

Application/Control Number: 09/533,361

Art Unit: 1619

lipophilic monomer with ethylenic unsaturation is chosen, wherein one polar hydrophlic monomer with ethylenic unsaturation is chosen, wherein an anionic monomer with ethylenic unsaturation is chosen, wherein a hydrophobic monomer with ethylenic unsaturation is chosen, wherein one compound is chosen for formula (I), wherein one compound is chosen for formula (IV), wherein each variable of formula (I) and formula (IV) is defined.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.C.C. § 103 of the other invention.

In the event that the Markush-type claims are not found to be allowable the examination of tee claims presented will be limited to the Markush-type claims to the extent that they read on the elected species and claims directed solely to the elected species. The claims directed solely to the non-elected species will be held withdrawn from consideration. A requirement to elect a species has been held to be tantamount to a requirement for restriction under 35 USC § 121¹.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary E Hollinden can be reached on (703) 308-4521. The fax phone numbers for the

¹ In re Herrick, 115 USPQ, Comm'r Pat.

Application/Control Number: 09/533,361

Art Unit: 1619

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 37841234.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1619

lqw November 28, 2000